arXiv:1602.08611v1 [math.GR] 27 Feb 2016

Conformal dimension on boundary of right-angled hyperbolic buildings

Antoine Clais
Technion
Department of Mathematics
32000 Haifa, Israel
aclais@tx.technion.ac.il

March 1, 2016

Résumé

Dans cette note, on utilise des modules combinatoires sur le bord d'un immeuble hyperbolique à angles droits pour encadrer sa dimension conforme. La borne inférieure obtenue est optimale dans le cas des immeubles Fuchsiens.

Abstract

In this note, we use some combinatorial modulus on the boundary of a right-angled hyperbolic building to control its conformal dimension. The lower bound obtained is optimal in the case of Fuchsian buildings.

Keywords: Boundary of hyperbolic space, combinatorial modulus, conformal dimension.

MSC2010: 20F67, 20E42.

The conformal dimension is a quasi-isometric invariant of Gromov hyperbolic spaces that has been introduced by P. Pansu in [13]. Since then it has become a major tool used to study quasi-conformal properties of boundaries of hyperbolic groups in relation with rigidity phenomenon. In particular, in [1], it plays a key role in proving that right-angled Fuchsian buildings satisfy a Mostow type rigidity theorem. We refer to [10] and [8] for surveys concerning this topic and to [11] for a survey concerning the conformal dimension in the more general context of self-similar spaces.

Recalls Let (Z,d) be a compact arcwise connected metric space. The *cross-ratio* of four distinct points $a,b,c,d \in Z$ is

$$[a:b:c:d] = \frac{d(a,b)}{d(a,c)} \cdot \frac{d(c,d)}{d(b,d)}.$$

An homeomorphism $f : Z \longrightarrow Z$ is *quasi-Moebius* if there exists an homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any quadruple of distinct points $a,b,c,d \in Z$

$$[f(a) : f(b) : f(c) : f(d)] \leq \phi([a:b:c:d]).$$

Now we assume that Z is a *Q-Ahlfors-regular* (AR) for $Q > 1$. This means that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for any $0 < R \leq \operatorname{diam} Z$ and any R-ball $B \subset Z$ one has

$$C^{-1} \cdot R^Q \leq \mathcal{H}_d(B) \leq C \cdot R^Q,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_d(\cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff measure of (Z,d). Notice that, under this assumption, Q is equal to the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(Z,d)$ of (Z,d). The *Ahlfors-regular conformal gauge* of (Z,d) is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{J}_c(Z,d) := \{(Z,\delta) : (Z,\delta) \text{ is AR and quasi-Moebius homeomorphic to } (Z,d)\}.$$

Definition 1. *The* Ahlfors-regular conformal dimension *of* $\partial\Gamma$ *is*

$$\operatorname{Confdim}(Z,d) := \inf\{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(Z,\delta) : (Z,\delta) \in \mathcal{J}_c(Z,d)\}.$$

In the rest of the note we will simply call it the *conformal dimension*. We recall that all the visual metrics on the boundary of a hyperbolic space are quasi-Moebius homeomorphic one to the other and AR. In particular, the conformal dimension is a quasi-isometric invariant of a hyperbolic space.

As the topological and the Hausdorff dimensions are respectively invariant under homeomorphisms and bi-Lipschitz maps, the conformal dimension is invariant under quasi-Moebius maps. The inclusions between these three classes of maps imply the following inequalities:

$$\dim_T(Z) \leq \operatorname{Confdim}(Z,d) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(Z,d),$$

where $\dim_T(Z)$ designate the topological dimension of Z.

Combining ideas of G.D Mostow, P. Pansu and M. Bourdon with a theorem of M. Bonk and B. Kleiner (see [1, Theorem 1.3]) it is known that if the conformal dimension of the boundary of a CAT(-1) group is realized in the conformal gauge, then the underlying CAT(-1) space satisfies a Mostow type rigidity theorem (see [8, Théoreme 5.11]).

The result The goal of this note is to prove the theorem bellow that relates the conformal dimension of the boundary of a building to the conformal dimension of the boundary of an apartment. We refer to [6] for generalities concerning Coxeter groups and buildings.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote a *finite simplicial graph i.e* $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is finite, each edge has two different vertices, and $\mathcal{G}$ contains no double edge. We denote by $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ and we set $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. If for $i \neq j$ the corresponding vertices v_i, v_j are connected by an edge, then we write $v_i \sim v_j$. We denote by W the the *right-angled Coxeter group* whose relation graph is $\mathcal{G}$, namely

$$W = \left\langle s_i \in S | s_i^2 = 1, s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ if } v_i \sim v_j \right\rangle .$$

For $q \geq 2$ we denote by Γ_q the group defined by the following presentation

$$\Gamma_q = \langle s_i \in S | s_i^q = 1, s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ if } v_i \sim v_j \rangle .$$

In the rest of this note, we will assume that Γ_q is infinite hyperbolic with arcwise connected boundary $\partial\Gamma_q$. This assumption only involves the graph $\mathcal{G}$ (see [12] and [7]). We also equip $\partial\Gamma_q$ with a visual metric d.

We recall that Γ_q acts by isometry properly discontinuously and cocompactly on Δ_q the building of type (W, S) and of constant thickness q. As a consequence the boundaries $\partial\Gamma_q$ and $\partial\Delta_q$ are canonically identified by a Γ_q-equivarient quasi-Moebius homeomorphism. We also recall that Δ_q is a CAT(-1) metric space.

In the following, for $g \in \Gamma_q$, we designate by $|g|$ the distance, for the word metric on Γ_q relative to the generating set S^q, between e and g. Then $\tau(q) = \limsup_k \frac{1}{k} \log(\#\{g \in \Gamma_q : |g| \leq k\})$ is the growth rate of Γ_q. In the rest of the note, we will write $Q(q) = \text{Confdim}(\partial\Gamma_q)$. Notice that, in particular, $Q(2) = \text{Confdim}(\partial W)$.

Theorem 2. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of q such that*

$$Q(2) \cdot \big(1 + \frac{\log(q-1)}{\tau(2)}\big) \leq Q(q) \leq C \log(q-1).$$

Consequences of the theorem In general, the conformal dimension $Q(2)$ of ∂W is unknown. However the topological dimension of ∂W is easy to read in the graph $\mathcal{G}$ (see [7]). Hence, one can use the inequality $\text{Confdim}(\partial W) \geq \dim_T(\partial W)$, to obtain an explicit lower bound.

The only hyperbolic buildings for which we can compute the conformal dimension of their boundaries are Fuschian buildings. In this case , the lower bound of Theorem

2 is optimal (see [2, Théorème 1.1]). This allows us to think that this bound should be optimal for others hyperbolic buildings.

In particular, if D is the regular right-angled dodecahedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$ and (W, S) is the right-angled Coxeter group generated by the reflections about the faces of D. Then ∂W is quasi-Moebius homeomorphic to the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $Q(2) = 2$. For $q \geq 3$ the corresponding building Δ_q has all chances to be Mostow rigid. Indeed, the rigidity of its apartments (that are copies of $\mathbb{H}^3$) should be increased by the building structure. Moreover in [5] it is proved that $\partial\Delta_q$ satisfies the *combinatorial Loewner property*. This property is conjecturally equivalent to admitting a metric realizing the conformal dimension (see [10, Conjecture 7.5]). As a consequence, it may be enough to find a visual metric of Hausdorff dimension equal to $2 + \frac{2\log(q-1)}{\tau(2)}$ on $\partial\Gamma_q$ in order to prove the rigidity of this building.

Finally, an immediate computation shows that the growth rates $\tau(q)$ and $\tau(2)$ are related by the formula $\tau(q) = \tau(2) + \log(q-1)$. On the other hand, growth rates of Coxeter groups can be computed by hand. For instance, if W is the reflection group of $\mathbb{H}^3$ mentioned in the preceding paragraph $\tau(2) = \log(4 + \sqrt{15})$.

Combinatorial modulus For a complete introduction on combinatorial modulus on boundaries of hyperbolic groups we refer to [3]. We will restrict to the example given by the group $\partial\Gamma_q$ equipped with a visual metric d.

For $k \geq 0$ and $\kappa > 1$, a *κ-approximation of $(\partial\Gamma_q, d)$ on scale k* is a finite covering G_k by open subsets such that for any $v \in G_k$ there exists $z_v \in v$ satisfying the following properties:

- $B(z_v, \kappa^{-1}2^{-k}) \subset v \subset B(z_v, \kappa 2^{-k})$,
- $\forall v, w \in G_k$ with $v \neq w$ one has $B(z_v, \kappa^{-1}2^{-k}) \cap B(z_w, \kappa^{-1}2^{-k}) = \emptyset$.

A sequence $\{G_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is called a *κ-approximation of $(\partial\Gamma_q, d)$*.

Now we fix the approximation $\{G_k\}_{k\geq 0}$. Throughout this note, we will call a curve in $\partial\Gamma_q$ a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \partial\Gamma_q$ and we will designate by γ its image. Let $\rho : G_k \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a positive function and γ be a curve in $\partial\Gamma_q$. The *ρ-length* of γ is $L_\rho(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma\cap v\neq\emptyset} \rho(v)$. For $p \geq 1$, the *p-mass* of ρ is $M_p(\rho) = \sum_{v\in G_k} \rho(v)^p$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a non-empty set of curves in $\partial\Gamma_q$. We say that the function ρ is *$\mathcal{F}$-admissible* if $L_\rho(\gamma) \geq 1$ for any curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$. For $p \geq 1$, the *G_k-combinatorial p-modulus of $\mathcal{F}$* is

$$\mathrm{Mod}_p(\mathcal{F}, G_k) = \inf\{M_p(\rho)\},$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of $\mathcal{F}$-admissible functions and with $\mathrm{Mod}_p(\emptyset, G_k) := 0$.

Two ingredients for the proof The first ingredient of the proof is that the conformal dimension is a critical exponent for the combinatorial modulus. This is the immediate application of a theorem that holds in the general context of boundaries of hyperbolic groups (see [9] or [4, Theorem 0.1.]).

Let $d_0 > 0$ be a small constant compared with the geometric constants of $(\partial\Gamma_q, d)$ and let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the set of all the curves in $\partial\Gamma_q$ of diameter larger than d_0. Under this assumption

$$(*)\quad \mathrm{Confdim}(\partial\Gamma_q) = \inf\{p \in [1, +\infty) : \lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathrm{Mod}_p(\mathcal{F}_0, G_k) = 0\}.$$

The second ingredient of the proof is a control of the combinatorial modulus on the boundary of the building by the combinatorial modulus on the boundary of an apartment (see [5, Theorem 9.1]).

Let G_k^W and by G_k two κ-approximations of respectively ∂W and $\partial\Gamma$ constructed as in [5, Subsection 8.2]. We recall that by construction, there exists $\lambda \geq 1$ such that, for any $k \geq 0$

$$\#G_k^W \leq \#\{g \in W : |g| \leq k\} \leq \lambda \cdot \#G_k^W.$$

In particular, this implies that $\tau(2) = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k}\log(\#G_k)$. Now we designate by $\mathrm{mod}_p(\cdot, G_k^W)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}_p(\cdot, G_k)$ the combinatorial modulus computed respectively in ∂W and in $\partial\Gamma_q$. Let $d_0 > 0$ be a small constant compared with the geometric parameters of ∂W and $\partial\Gamma_q$ and let $\mathcal{F}_0^W$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the set of all the curves respectively in ∂W and $\partial\Gamma_q$ of diameter larger than d_0. Under these hypothesis, for any $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $D \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq 1$

$$(**)\quad D^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Mod}_p(\mathcal{F}_0, G_k) \leq (q-1)^k \cdot \mathrm{mod}_p(F_0^W, G_k^W) \leq D \cdot \mathrm{Mod}_p(\mathcal{F}_0, G_k).$$

As an immediate consequence of $(*)$ and $(**)$

$$Q(q) = \inf\{p \in [1, +\infty) : \lim_{k\to+\infty} (q-1)^k \cdot \mathrm{mod}_p(\mathcal{F}_0^W, G_k^W) = 0\}.$$

We use this equality in proving Theorem 2. Throughout this proof, $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{F}_0^W$-admissible functions.

Right-hand side inequality Comparing the diameters of the elements of G_k with d_0 one obtains that there exist two constants $K > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ independent of k and q such that, up to change the sequence $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, for all $k \geq 1$ and all $w \in G_k^W$

$$\rho_k(w) \leq K \cdot \lambda^k.$$

Hence

$$(q-1)^k \sum_{w\in G_k^W} \rho_k(w)^p \leq K^p \cdot \#G_k^W \cdot [\lambda^p(q-1)]^k.$$

As we recalled, $\tau(2) = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k}\log(\#G_k)$, thus $Q(q) \leq \frac{1}{\log 1/\lambda}(\tau(2)+\log(q-1))$.

Left-hand side inequality Now we set $G = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} G_k^W$ and for $w \in G$ we write $|w| = k$ such that $w \in G_k^W$. For a sequence $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{F}_0^W$-admissible function, let $\rho : w \in G \longrightarrow \rho_{|w|}(w) \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Now we observe that

$$\text{if } \sum_{w\in G}(q-1)^{|w|}\rho(w)^p < \infty \text{ then } p \geq Q(q).$$

We define the function

$$P_\rho(s) := \inf\{p > 0 | \sum_{w\in G}(q-1)^{s|w|}\rho(w)^p < \infty\}$$

and we study the function P_ρ to compute the lower bound for $Q(q)$.

To start, one has $P_\rho(0) \geq Q(2)$ and, up to change $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, we can choose $P_\rho(0)$ arbitrarily close to $Q(2)$. Then, identically, one has $P_\rho(1) \geq Q(q)$ and, up to change $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, we can choose $P_\rho(1)$ arbitrarily close to $Q(q)$.

Now we set $s_0 = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} | \sum_{w\in G}(q-1)^{s|w|} < \infty\}$. Clearly $s_0 < 0$ and is such that $P_\rho(s_0) = 0$. Indeed, as we said in the preceding paragraph, there exist two constants $K > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ independent of k and q such that, up to change the sequence $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, for all $k \geq 1$ and all $w \in G_k^W$

$$\rho(w) \leq K \cdot \lambda^{|w|}.$$

Hence, if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{w\in G}(q-1)^{-\epsilon|w|}.(q-1)^{s_0|w|} < \infty.$$

Then for all $\epsilon' > 0$ small enough one has

$$\sum_{w\in G}\rho(w)^{\epsilon'}(q-1)^{s_0|w|} \leq K^{\epsilon'} \cdot \sum_{w\in G}(q-1)^{-\epsilon|w|}.(q-1)^{s_0|w|} < \infty.$$

On the other hand $s_0 = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} | \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \#G_k^W (q-1)^{sk} < \infty\}$. As we recalled, $\tau(2) = \limsup_k \frac{1}{k}\log(\#G_k^W)$ thus

$$s_0 = -\frac{\tau(2)}{\log(q-1)}.$$

Now we check that the function P_ρ is convex on $[s_0, +\infty)$. In other word, we check that for all $t \in [0,1]$, for all $[a,b] \subset [s_0, +\infty)$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$ one has

$$\sum_{w\in G} (q-1)^{(ta+(1-t)b)|w|} \rho(w)^{(tP_\rho(a)+(1-t)P_\rho(b))+\epsilon} < \infty.$$

Indeed, for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{w\in G} x_w := \sum_{w\in G} (q-1)^{a|w|}\rho(w)^{P_\rho(a)+\epsilon} < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{w\in G} y_w := \sum_{w\in G} (q-1)^{b|w|}\rho(w)^{P_\rho(b)+\epsilon} < \infty.$$

Hence $\{x_w^{1/p}\} \in \ell^p$ and $\{y_w^{1/q}\} \in \ell^q$ with $p = \frac{1}{t}$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-t}$ and by Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}(\sum_{w\in G} x_w)^{1/p}.(\sum_{w\in G} y_w)^{1/q} &\geq \sum_{w\in G} ((q-1)^{a|w|}\rho(w)^{P_\rho(a)+\epsilon})^t.((q-1)^{b|w|}\rho(w)^{P_\rho(b)+\epsilon})^{1-t}, \\ &\geq \sum_{w\in G} (q-1)^{(ta+(1-t)b)|w|}\rho(w)^{(tP_\rho(a)+(1-t)P_\rho(b))+\epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by convexity, for all $t < 0$ one has $P_\rho(ts_0) \geq tP_\rho(s_0) + (1-t)P_\rho(0)$. In particular, for $t = 1/s_0$ one has

$$Q(q) \geq Q(2)\cdot(1 - \frac{1}{s_0}) = Q(2)\cdot\big(1 + \frac{\log(q-1)}{\tau(2)}\big).$$

References

[1] M. Bonk and B. Kleiner. Conformal dimension and Gromov hyperbolic groups with 2-sphere boundary. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:219–246, 2005.

[2] M. Bourdon. Immeubles hyperboliques, dimension conforme et rigidité de Mostow. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(2):245–268, 1997.

[3] M. Bourdon and B. Kleiner. Combinatorial modulus, the combinatorial Loewner property, and Coxeter groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 7(1):39–107, 2013.

[4] M. Carrasco. Conformal dimension and combinatorial modulus of compact metric spaces. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Serie I 350:141–145, 2012.

[5] A. Clais. Combinatorial Modulus on Boundary of Right-Angled Hyperbolic Buildings. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, 4:Art. 1, 2016.

[6] M. W. Davis. *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, volume 32 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008.

[7] M. W. Davis and J. Meier. The topology at infinity of Coxeter groups and buildings. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77(4):746–766, 2002.

[8] P. Haïssinsky. Géométrie quasiconforme, analyse au bord des espaces métriques hyperboliques et rigidités [d'après Mostow, Pansu, Bourdon, Pajot, Bonk, Kleiner...]. *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 993, ix, 321–362 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.

[9] S. Keith and B. Kleiner. In preparation.

[10] B. Kleiner. The asymptotic geometry of negatively curved spaces: uniformization, geometrization and rigidity. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 743–768. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[11] J. M. Mackay and J. T. Tyson. *Conformal dimension*, volume 54 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. Theory and application.

[12] J. Meier. When is the graph product of hyperbolic groups hyperbolic? *Geom. Dedicata*, 61(1):29–41, 1996.

[13] P. Pansu. Dimension conforme et sphère à l'infini des variétés à courbure négative. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 14(2):177–212, 1989.